1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each district and charter school to complete and submit a justification when it anticipates exceeding 1.0 percent of students assessed in a subject area (i.e., English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics, and/or Science) with the NCEXTEND1 alternate assessment. Justifications from each district and charter school will be reviewed by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI), and follow up actions will be determined based on the information found in the justification document. Staff from the Exceptional Children and Accountability Divisions in each district and charter school should collaborate to provide the following information on the justification document. Responses to Sections 1–4 and the designated signatures are required; it is optional to include additional information (see page 5). This justification document will be publicly posted. As such, the document <u>must not contain any personally identifiable information</u>. If necessary, additional pages may be attached to this form.

Section 1: Contact Information

Enter contact information for the primary district/charter school staff member responsible for overseeing the completion of the justification form.

3-Digit LEA/Charter Code: 92M
Contact Name: Patrice Hardy
Contact Phone No.: 919-235-0511
District/Charter Name: Preeminent Charter School
Contact Title: State Testing Coordinator
Contact E-Mail: 38.phardy@nhaschools.com

Section 2: Analyzing Contributing Factors

Did the Individualized Edu	cation Program	m (IEP) teams	utilize the alteri	nate assessme	ent <u>eligibility c</u>	riteria and
the North Carolina Alterna	te Assessment	Decision Mak	ing Flow Chart	to make alte	rnate assessme	nt
participation decisions?						

∑asa ⊠ Yes □ No

Indicate how all members of the IEP teams have been informed or trained on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. Check all that apply.

Training Method	School Administration	Special Education Staff	Parents	Related Service Staff
Face-to-face training				
Online training				
Given copy of guidance documents				
No training provided				
Other, please explain below				

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Other, please explain below:			
Click or tap here to enter text.			
L			
Does the district or charter school	ol identify students	to participate in the alter	rnate assessment that do not
traditionally participate (i.e., Spe	<u>-</u>		
please explain how the district de			
assessment.			1
	□ Yes	⊠ No	
Explain below:			
Click or tap here to enter text.			
Chek of tap here to emer text.			•
~			
Does the district or charter school	•	d program that may cont	tribute to a higher enrollment of
students with significant cognitive			
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Explain below:			
Click or tap here to enter text.			
Office of the first of the contract			

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Does the district or charter so exceeding the 1.0 percent thre		rall student population tha	t increased the likelihood of
	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Explain below:			
We are National Heritage A school has an overall small		ool as well as a school of	choice. Therefore, our
Section 3: Assurances			
Does the district or charter so	chool have a process in Yes	n place to monitor alternate	e assessment participation?
Explain below:			
PreEminent Charter School support to monitor alternate			al Children Superviors and
Does the district or charter so alternate assessment particip Explain below:	chool have a process in ation (specifically, am	n place to identify and add long race, gender, or socio ⊠ No	ress disproportionality in economic status groups)?
alternate state assessment. IEP, our Special Education to provide the student a Fre our IEP teams do so when oneeds of the student this is	When a student transfer Dean and an IEP team are Appropriate Public Idata from formal and in the most appropriate assessment are also taught	ers into PreEminent with a review all available data Education. Students place informal evaluations dictat essessment to administer. A trilizing appropriate alto	ing student with disabilities on the alternate assessment noted on their to determine if this is appropriate d on the alternate assessment by e that based upon the unique All of the students at PreEminent ernate curriculum. IEP teams this assessment is being

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Section 4: Resources and Technical Assistance

What resources and technical assistance does the district or charter school need from the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction to ensure that students are being assessed using the appropriate assessment?

Not at this time.		

Signatures

Superintendent/Charter School Director Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator Date $\frac{5/29/19}{2}$ Date $\frac{5}{2}$

The completed justification form must be signed by the superintendent/charter school director, exceptional children's director/coordinator, and LEA/charter school testing coordinator. The form must be scanned and emailed to alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov by May 3, 2019.

The NCDPI will notify districts/charter schools in writing if further information is needed and will include next steps. For questions, please contact your Exceptional Children Director or Regional Accountability Coordinator.

Note: See page 5 for additional information that can be included but is not required.

The following additional information can be included with the justification documentation, but is not required:

- Evidence that all educators who administer the alternate assessment meet the requirements for test administrators and have received test administration training prior to administering the alternate assessment.
- Evidence that all students have appropriate access to accessibility features on statewide tests.
- A review of the percentage of students taking the alternate assessment at grade 3 versus grades 4–7 versus grade 8 versus high school and an explanation of how Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams are making consistent participation decisions across grade levels.
- A review of data to determine if students are moving from the alternate assessment to the general assessment or vice versa and an explanation for grade levels where this action is more prevalent.
- Evidence that the district is providing appropriate supports and services to students with disabilities to assist in meeting the same graduation requirements as their non-disabled peers.
- An evaluation of students instructed using the Extended Content Standards, but who are spending more than eighty percent (80%) of their day in the general education setting.
- Evidence of data-driven team decisions to determine appropriate instruction and assessment.
- An assessment of varying practices across a district and/or between different schools.
- An explanation of special programs or populations that are served by the district/charter school that may contribute to the alternate assessment participation rate.